arXiv:1611.01203v1 [math.AG] 3 Nov 2016

RESIDUE FORMULAS FOR LOGARITHMIC FOLIATIONS AND APPLICATIONS

MAURÍCIO CORRÊA AND DIOGO DA SILVA MACHADO

Abstract. In this work we prove a Baum-Bott type formula for non-compact complex manifold of the form $\tilde{X} = X - \mathcal{D}$, where X is a complex compact manifold and $\mathcal{D}$ is an analytic divisor contained in X satisfying certain mild conditions. As applications, we provide a Gauss-Bonnet type formula and an optimal description for a smooth hypersurface $\mathcal{D}$ invariant by an one-dimensional foliation $\mathscr{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$ satisfying $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \subsetneq \mathcal{D}$.

1. Introduction

In [4] P. Baum and R. Bott developed a work about residues of singularities of holomorphic foliations on complex manifolds. In the case of one-dimensional holomorphic foliation $\mathscr{F}$, with isolate singularities, on an n-dimensional complex compact manifold X we have the following classical Baum-Bott formula:

$$\int_X c_n(T_X - T_{\mathscr{F}}) \;=\; \sum_{p\in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})} \mu_p(\mathscr{F}), \qquad (\textit{Baum-Bott formula}) \tag{1}$$

where the $\mu_p(\mathscr{F})$ are the Milnor number of $\mathscr{F}$ in p.

In this work we provide a Baum-Bott type formula for non-compact complex manifold of the form $\tilde{X} = X - \mathcal{D}$, where X is a complex compact manifold and $\mathcal{D}$ is an analytic divisor contained in X invariant by an one-dimensional holomorphic foliation $\mathscr{F}$ which is called by *logarithmic foliation* along $\mathcal{D}$. As an application, we obtained a Gauss-Bonnet type theorem for these non-compact manifolds. Furthermore, for logarithmic foliations on projective spaces, we prove a necessary and sufficient conditions for all singularities of the foliation occur in an analytic invariant hypersurface.

We prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let $\tilde{X}$ be an n-dimensional complex manifold such that $\tilde{X} = X - \mathcal{D}$, where X is an n-dimensional complex compact manifold and $\mathcal{D}$ is a reduced hypersurface on X with isolated singularities. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a foliation of dimension one on X, with isolated singularities and logarithmic along $\mathcal{D}$. Suppose that*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32S65, 37F75; secondary 14F05.

Key words and phrases. Logarithmic foliations, Gauss-Bonnet formula, residues.

$\mathrm{cod}(\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{D})) > 2$ *and that* $Ind_{log\,\mathcal{D},p}(\mathscr{F}) = 0$*, for all* $p \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathcal{D}_{reg}$*. Then*

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D}) - T_{\mathscr{F}}) = \sum_{p\in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})\cap(X\setminus\mathcal{D}_{reg})} \mu_p(\mathscr{F}) - \sum_{p\in\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{D})} GSV(\mathscr{F},\mathcal{D},p).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{D}$ *is smooth and irreducible, we have*

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D}) - T_{\mathscr{F}}) = \sum_{p\in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})\cap(\tilde{X})} \mu_p(\mathscr{F}).$$

Here, $Ind_{log\,\mathcal{D},p}(\mathscr{F})$ denotes the logarithmic index of $\mathscr{F}$ on p, see section 2.4.

In the Section 4, we will prove a first application of this result Theorem 1, namely, a Gauss-Bonnet type formula for these non-compact manifolds. The classical Gauss-Bonnet theorem for a complex compact manifold X, proved by S. Chern in [8], says us that

$$\int_X c_n(T_X) = \chi(X). \tag{2}$$

The following version of Gauss-Bonnet formula for non-compact manifolds was initially proposed by S. Iitaka [15] and proved by Y. Norimatsu [18], R. Silvotti [21] and P. Aluffi [2]:

Theorem (Norimatsu-Silvotti -Aluffi)**.** *Let* $\tilde{X}$ *be an* n*-dimensional complex manifold such that* $\tilde{X} = X - \mathcal{D}$*, where* X *is an* n*-dimensional complex compact manifold and* $\mathcal{D}$ *is a normally crossing hypersurface on* X*. Then*

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D})) \;=\; \chi(\tilde{X}),$$

where $\chi(\tilde{X})$ *denotes the Euler characteristic given by*

$$\chi(\tilde{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \dim\, H^i_c(\tilde{X},\mathbb{C}).$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we prove the following new version of the Gauss-Bonnet formula.

Corollary 1. *Let* $\tilde{X}$ *be an* n*-dimensional complex manifold such that* $\tilde{X} = X - \mathcal{D}$*, where* X *is an* n*-dimensional complex compact manifold, with* $n > 2$*,* $\mathcal{D}$ *is a reduced hypersurface on* X *with isolated singularities. Then*

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D})) = \chi(\tilde{X}) + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{p\in\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{D})} \mu_p(\mathcal{D}),$$

where $\mu_p(\mathcal{D})$ *denotes the Milnor number of* $\mathcal{D}$ *on* p*.*

In the Section 5, we consider the case where $\mathcal{D}$ is a normal crossing hypersurface and we prove the following Baum-Bott type formula:

Theorem 2. *Let $\tilde{X}$ be an n-dimensional complex manifold such that $\tilde{X} = X - \mathcal{D}$, where X is a n-dimensional complex compact manifold, $\mathcal{D}$ is a normally crossing hypersurface on X. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a foliation on X of dimension one, with isolated singularities (non-degenerates) and logarithmic along $\mathcal{D}$. Then,*

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log \mathcal{D}) - T_{\mathscr{F}}) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap (\tilde{X})} \mu_p(\mathscr{F}). \tag{3}$$

Finally, in the Section 6, we prove a complete characterization in order that an invariant hypersurface contains all the singularities of the projective foliation.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a smooth and irreducible hypersurface and let $\mathscr{F}$ be a foliation of dimension one on $\mathbb{P}^n$, with isolated singularities (non-degenerates) and logarithmic along $\mathcal{D}$. Then, the following proprieties holds*

(1) *If n is odd, then:*

(a) $\#\left[\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathbb{P}^n \backslash \mathcal{D}\right] > 0 \iff \deg(\mathcal{D}) < \deg(\mathscr{F}) + 1$;

(b) $\#\left[\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathbb{P}^n \backslash \mathcal{D}\right] = 0 \iff \deg(\mathcal{D}) = \deg(\mathscr{F}) + 1$.

(2) *If n is even, then:*

(a) $\#\left[\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathbb{P}^n \backslash \mathcal{D}\right] > 0 \iff \begin{cases} \deg(\mathcal{D}) \neq \deg(\mathscr{F}) + 1 \\ \textit{or} \\ \deg(\mathcal{D}) = \deg(\mathscr{F}) + 1, \ \textit{with} \ \deg(\mathscr{F}) \neq 0 \end{cases}$

(b) $\#\left[\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathbb{P}^n \backslash \mathcal{D}\right] = 0 \iff \deg(\mathcal{D}) = 1 \ \textit{and} \ \deg(\mathscr{F}) = 0$.

(3) *In general, we have the formula*

$$\#\left[\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathbb{P}^n \backslash \mathcal{D}\right] = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i (\deg(\mathcal{D}) - 1)^i \deg(\mathscr{F})^{n-i}.$$

Observe that if n is odd, then $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \subsetneq \mathcal{D}$ if and only if the Soares's bound for the Poincaré problem is achieved [22].

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Gilcione Nonato, Jean-Paul Brasselet, Tatsuo Suwa and Marcio G. Soares for interesting conversations. This work was partially supported by CNPq, CAPES, FAPEMIG and FAPESP-2015/20841-5.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Logarithmics forms and logarithmics vector fields.** Let X an n-dimensional complex manifold and $\mathcal{D}$ a reduced hypersurface on X. Given a meromorphic q-form ω on X, we say that ω is a *logarithmic* q-form along $\mathcal{D}$ at $x \in X$ if the following conditions occurs:

(i) ω is holomorphic on $X - \mathcal{D}$;

(ii) If $h = 0$ is a reduced equation of $\mathcal{D}$, locally at x, then $h\,\omega$ and $h\,d\omega$ are holomorphic.

Denoting by $\Omega^q_{X,x}(\log\,\mathcal{D})$ the set of germs of logarithmic q-form along $\mathcal{D}$ at x, we define the following coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules

$$\Omega^q_X(\log\,\mathcal{D}) := \bigcup_{x\in X} \Omega^q_{X,x}(\log\,\mathcal{D}),$$

which is called by *sheaf of logarithmics q-forms along* $\mathcal{D}$. See [9], [16] and [19] for details.

Now, given $x \in X$, let $v \in T_{X,x}$ be germ at x of a holomorphic vector field on X. We say that v is a *logarithmic vector field along of* $\mathcal{D}$ *at* x, if v satisfies the following condition: if $h = 0$ is a equation of $\mathcal{D}$, locally at x, then the derivation $v(h)$ belongs to the ideal $\langle h_x\rangle\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$. Denoting by $T_{X,x}(-\log\,\mathcal{D})$ the set of germs of logarithmic vector field along of $\mathcal{D}$ at x, we define the following coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules

$$T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D}) := \bigcup_{x\in X} T_{X,x}(-\log\,\mathcal{D}),$$

which is called by *sheaf of logarithmics vector fields along* $\mathcal{D}$.

It is known that $\Omega^1_X(\log\,\mathcal{D})$ and $T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D})$ is always a reflexive sheaf, see [19] for more details. If $\mathcal{D}$ is an analytic hypersurface with normal crossing singularities, the sheaves $\Omega^1_X(\log\,\mathcal{D})$ and $T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D})$ are locally free, furthermore, the Poincaré residue map

$$\mathrm{Res} : \Omega^1_X(\log\,\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_i}$$

give the following exact sequence of sheaves on X

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X(\log\,\mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{Res} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_i} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{4}$$

where Ω^1_X is the sheaf of holomorphics 1-forms on X and $\mathcal{D}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{D}_N$ are the irreducible components of $\mathcal{D}$.

Now, if $\mathcal{D}$ is such that $\mathrm{cod}(\mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{D})) > 2$ then there exist the following exact sequence of sheaves on X (see V. I. Dolgachev [10]):

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X(\log\,\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow 0, \tag{5}$$

On the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$, if $\mathcal{D}$ is a smooth hypersurface, then there exist the following exact sequence of sheaves (see E. Angeline [3]):

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-\log\,\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{6}$$

where k is the degree of $\mathcal{D}$.

2.2. **Singular one-dimensional holomorphic foliations.**

Definition 2.1. Let X be a connected complex manifold. An one-dimensional holomorphic foliation is given by the following data:

i) an open covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$ of X;
ii) for each U_α an holomorphic vector field ζ_α ;
iii) for every non-empty intersection, $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, a holomorphic function

$$f_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}_X^*(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta);$$

such that $\zeta_\alpha = f_{\alpha\beta}\zeta_\beta$ in $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ and $f_{\alpha\beta}f_{\beta\gamma} = f_{\alpha\gamma}$ in $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma$.

We denote by $K_{\mathscr{F}}$ the line bundle defined by the cocycle $\{f_{\alpha\beta}\} \in \mathrm{H}^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*)$. Thus, a one-dimensional holomorphic foliation $\mathscr{F}$ on X induces a global holomorphic section $\zeta_{\mathscr{F}} \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, T_X \otimes K_{\mathscr{F}})$.

The line bundle $T_{\mathscr{F}} := (K_{\mathscr{F}})^* \hookrightarrow T_X$ is called the *tangente bundle* of $\mathscr{F}$. The singular set of $\mathscr{F}$ is $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) = \{\zeta_{\mathscr{F}} = 0\}$. We will assume that $\mathrm{cod}(\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})) \geq 2$.

Definition 2.2. Let V an analytic subspace of a complex manifold X. We say that V is invariant by a foliation $\mathscr{F}$ if $T_{\mathscr{F}}|_V \subset (\Omega^1_V)^*$. If V is a hypersurface we say that $\mathscr{F}$ is *logatithmic along* V.

Definition 2.3. A foliation on a complex projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ is called by *projective foliation*. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a projective foliation with tangent bundle $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(r)$. The integer number $d := r + 1$ is called by the *degree* of $\mathscr{F}$.

2.3. **The GSV-Index.** X. Gomez-Mont, J. Sead and A. Verjovsky [12] introduced the *GSV-index* for a holomorphic vector field over an analytic hypersurface, with isolated singularities, on a complex manifold, generalizing the (classical) Poincaré-Hopf index. The concept of GSV-index was extended to holomorphic vector field on more general contexts. For example, J. Seade e T. Suwa in [20], defined the GSV-index for holomorphic vector field on analytic subvariety type isolated complete intersection singularity. J.-P. Brasselet, J. Seade and T. Suwa in [5], extended the notion of GSV-index for vector fields defined in certain types of analytical subvariety with non-isolated singularities.

In [11] X. Gomez-Mont defined the *homological index* of holomorphic vector field on an analytic hypersurface with isolated singularities, which coincides with GSV-index. There is also the *virtual index*, introduced by D. Lehmann, M. Soares and T. Suwa [17], that via Chern-Weil theory can be interpreted as the GSV-index. M. Brunella [7] also present the GSV-index for foliations on complex surfaces by a different approach.

Let X be an n-dimensional complex manifold, $\mathcal{D}$ a isolated hypersurface singularity on X and let $\mathscr{F}$ be a foliation on X of dimension one, with isolated singularities. Suppose $\mathscr{F}$ logarithmic along $\mathcal{D}$, i.e., the analytic hypersurface $\mathcal{D}$ is invariant by

each holomorphic vector field that is a local representative of $\mathscr{F}$. The GSV-index of $\mathscr{F}$ in $x \in \mathcal{D}$ will be denoted by $GSV(\mathscr{F}, \mathcal{D}, x)$. For definition and details on the GSV-index we refer to [6] and [23].

2.4. **The Logarithmic Index.** Recently, A. G. Aleksandrov introduced in [1] the notion of logarithmic index for logarithmic a vector field . Let $\mathscr{F}$ be an one-dimensional holomorphic foliation on X with isolated singularities and logarithmic along $\mathcal{D}$. Fixed a point $x \in X$, let $v \in T_X(-\log \mathcal{D})|_U$ a germ of vector field on (U, x) tangent to $\mathscr{F}$. The interior multiplication i_v induces the complex of logarithmic differential forms

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^n_{X,x}(\log \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{i_v} \Omega^{n-1}_{X,x}(\log \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{i_v} \cdots \xrightarrow{i_v} \Omega^1_{X,x}(\log \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{i_v} \mathcal{O}_{n,x}.$$

Since all singularities of v are isolated, the i_v-homology groups of the complex $\Omega^\bullet_X(\log \mathcal{D})$ are finite-dimensional vector spaces (see [1]). Thus, the Euler characteristic

$$\chi(\Omega^\bullet_X(\log \mathcal{D}), i_v) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i dim H_i(\Omega^\bullet_{X,x}(\log D), i_v).$$

of the complex of logarithmic differential forms is well defined. Since this number does not depend on local representative v of the foliation $\mathscr{F}$ at the point x, we define the *logarithmic* index of $\mathscr{F}$ at the point x by

$$Ind_{log\, D,x}(\mathscr{F}) := \chi(\Omega^\bullet_X(\log \mathcal{D}), i_v).$$

It follows from the definition that $Ind_{log\, D,x}(\mathscr{F}) = 0$ for all $x \in X - \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})$.

We have the following important property (see [1]):

Proposition 2.4. [1] *Let X, $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathscr{F}$ be as described above. Then, for each $x \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathcal{D}$ we have*

$$Ind_{log\, \mathcal{D},x}(\mathscr{F}) = \mu_x(\mathscr{F}) - GSV(\mathscr{F}, \mathcal{D}, x) \tag{7}$$

where $\mu_x(v)$ and $GSV(v, \mathcal{D}, x)$ denote, respectively, the Milnor number and GSV index of v.

Remark 2.5. If $x \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathcal{D}_{reg}$, we obtain

$$Ind_{log\, \mathcal{D},x}(\mathscr{F}) = \mu_x(\mathscr{F}) - \mu_x(\mathscr{F}|_{\mathcal{D}_{reg}}),$$

since, in this case, the GSV index of $\mathscr{F}$ in x coincides with the Milnor number of $\mathscr{F}|_{\mathcal{D}_{reg}}$ in x. In particular,

$$Ind_{log\, \mathcal{D},x}(\mathscr{F}) = 0,$$

whenever x is a non-degenerate singularity of $\mathscr{F}$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

To prove the Theorem 1 we will firstly prove the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be an n-dimensional complex compact manifold and $\mathcal{D}$ a reduced hypersurface on X. If $\operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{D})) > 2$, then for all line bundle L on X we have*

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log \mathcal{D}) - L) = \int_X c_n(T_X - L) - \int_{\mathcal{D}} c_{n-1}(T_X - [\mathcal{D}] - L). \tag{8}$$

Proof. By using properties of Chern class we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log \mathcal{D}) - L) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \int_X c_{n-j}(T_X(-\log \mathcal{D}))c_1(L^*)^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j} \int_X c_{n-j}(\Omega^1_X(\log \mathcal{D}))c_1(L^*)^j.\end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, since $\operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{D})) > 2$ we can use the exact sequence (5) to obtain

$$c_i(\Omega^1_X(\log \mathcal{D})) = \sum_{k=0}^{i} c_{i-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_k(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}), \forall i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}.$$

On the other hand, the Chern classes of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}$ are

$$c_k(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}}) = c_k(\mathcal{O}_X - \mathcal{O}(-\mathcal{D})) = c_1([\mathcal{D}])^k, \quad k = 1, \ldots, n. \tag{9}$$

Thus,

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log \mathcal{D}) - L) = \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j} \int_X \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1([\mathcal{D}])^k\right] c_1(L^*)^j.$$

Now, we split this sum in two parts as follows:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j} \int_X \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1([\mathcal{D}])^k\right] c_1(L^*)^j =$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-j} \int_X \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1([\mathcal{D}])^k\right] c_1(L^*)^j + \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j} \int_X c_{n-j}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(L^*)^j.$$

In the first part appears all terms with $k \geq 1$ and in second one part are the terms with $k = 0$. By using the Poincaré duality, we compute the first part as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-j}\int_X\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1([\mathcal{D}])^k\right]c_1(L^*)^j = \\
= &\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-j}\int_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1([\mathcal{D}])^{k-1}\right]c_1(L^*)^j = \\
= &-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\int_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}(-1)^{n-j-k}c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)(-1)^{k-1}c_1([\mathcal{D}])^{k-1}\right]c_1(L^*)^j = \\
= &-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\int_{\mathcal{D}}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(T_X)c_1([\mathcal{D}]^*)^{k-1}\right]c_1(L^*)^j = \\
= &-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\int_{\mathcal{D}} c_{n-1-j}(T_X-[\mathcal{D}])c_1(L^*)^j = \\
= &-\int_{\mathcal{D}} c_{n-1}(T_X-[\mathcal{D}]-L).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, by basics proprieties of Chern classes we compute the second sum as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}\int_X c_{n-j}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(L^*)^j &= \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}\int_X(-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j}(T_X)c_1(L^*)^j \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{n}\int_X c_{n-j}(T_X)c_1(L^*)^j \\
&= \int_X c_n(T_X-L).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j}\int_X\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1([\mathcal{D}])^k\right]c_1(L^*)^j = -\int_{\mathcal{D}} c_{n-1}(T_X-[\mathcal{D}]-L)+\int_X c_n(T_X-L).$$

and this proves the result. □

Now, we will prove the Theorem 1:

Proof. Since $\operatorname{cod}(\operatorname{Sing}(\mathcal{D})) > 2$, we can invoke the formula (8) of the Theorem 3.1 to obtain the following equality

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\mathcal{D})-T_{\mathscr{F}}) = \int_X c_n(T_X-T_{\mathscr{F}})-\int_{\mathcal{D}} c_{n-1}(T_X-[\mathcal{D}]-T_{\mathscr{F}}).$$

By hypothesis, the one-dimensional foliation $\mathscr{F}$ is logarithmic along $\mathcal{D}$ and has only isolated singularities, then it follows from [23] that the top Chern number of

restriction $(T_X - [\mathcal{D}] - T_{\mathscr{F}})|_{\mathcal{D}}$ coincides with the sum of GSV-Index of $\mathscr{F}$ along $\mathcal{D}$. That is

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} c_{n-1}(T_X - [\mathcal{D}] - T_{\mathscr{F}}) = \sum_{p \in S(\mathscr{F},\mathcal{D})} GSV(\mathscr{F}, \mathcal{D}, p),$$

where $S(\mathscr{F}, \mathcal{D}) = [\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathcal{D}] \cup \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{D})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D}) - T_{\mathscr{F}}) &= \int_X c_n(T_X - T_{\mathscr{F}}) - \sum_{p \in S(\mathscr{F},\mathcal{D})} GSV(\mathscr{F}, \mathcal{D}, p) \\ &= \sum_{p \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})} \mu_p(\mathscr{F}) - \sum_{p \in S(\mathscr{F},\mathcal{D})} GSV(\mathscr{F}, \mathcal{D}, p),\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we are using the Baum-Bott classical formula (1). Now, since $Ind_{log\,\mathcal{D},p}(\mathscr{F}) = 0$ for all $p \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathcal{D}_{reg}$, by Proposition 2.4 we get the following relation

$$GSV(\mathscr{F}, \mathcal{D}, p) = \mu_p(\mathscr{F}),\ \forall\ p \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathcal{D}_{reg}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\sum_{p \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})} \mu_p(\mathscr{F}) - \sum_{p \in S(\mathscr{F},\mathcal{D})} GSV(\mathscr{F}, \mathcal{D}, p) = \sum_{p \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap (X \setminus \mathcal{D}_{reg})} \mu_p(\mathscr{F}) - \sum_{p \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{D})} GSV(\mathscr{F}, \mathcal{D}, p),$$

and the desired formula is proved. □

4. Application: a Gauss-Bonnet type Theorem

In this section we will prove a Gauss-Bonnet type formula for non-compact complex manifolds. More precisely, we prove the following:

Corollary 1. *Let $\tilde{X}$ be an n-dimensional complex manifold such that $\tilde{X} = X - \mathcal{D}$, where X is an n-dimensional complex compact manifold, with $n > 2$, and $\mathcal{D}$ is a reduced hypersurface with isolated singularities. Then the following equality holds:*

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D})) = \chi(\tilde{X}) + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{p \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{D})} \mu_p(\mathcal{D}),$$

where $\mu_p(\mathcal{D})$ denotes the Milnor number of $\mathcal{D}$ on p.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a reduced hypersurface with isolated singularities, we can take the formula (8) of the Theorem 3.1 to obtain the following equality

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D})) = \int_X c_n(T_X) - \int_{\mathcal{D}} c_{n-1}(T_X - [\mathcal{D}]).$$

Now, by using the classical Gauss-Bonnet formula (2), we obtain

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D})) = \chi(X) - \int_{\mathcal{D}} c_{n-1}(T_X - [\mathcal{D}]).$$

It follows from [23] that the top Chern number of $(T_X - [\mathcal{D}])|_{\mathcal{D}}$ is given by

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} c_{n-1}(T_X - [\mathcal{D}]) = \chi(\mathcal{D}) + (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{p \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{D})} \mu_p(\mathcal{D}).$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D})) = \chi(X) - \chi(\mathcal{D}) \quad + \quad (-1)^n \sum_{p\in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathcal{D})} \mu_p(\mathcal{D}).$$

Now, by using that $\chi(\tilde{X}) = \chi(X) - \chi(\mathcal{D})$, we obtain the desired formula. □

5. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section we will consider $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{D}_N$ an analytic hypersurface on X, with normal crossing singularities. Fixing an irreducible component, say $\mathcal{D}_N$, we define

$$\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N := \bigcup_{j=1}^{N-1} \mathcal{D}_j \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \hat{\mathcal{D}}_N|\mathcal{D}_N := \bigcup_{j=1}^{N-1} \mathcal{D}_j \cap \mathcal{D}_N.$$

We note that $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N|\mathcal{D}_N$ is an analytic hypersurface on $\mathcal{D}_N$ with normal crossings singularities and $N-1$ irreducible components. We will use the following multiple index notation: for each multi-index $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_N)$ and $J' = (j'_1, \ldots, j'_{N-1})$, with $1 \leq j_l, j'_t \leq n$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\mathcal{D})^J &= c_1([\mathcal{D}_1])^{j_1} \cdots c_1([\mathcal{D}_N])^{j_N}, \\ c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'} &= c_1([\mathcal{D}_1])^{j'_1} \cdots c_1([\mathcal{D}_{N-1}])^{j'_{N-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1. *In the above conditions, for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we have*

$$c_i(\Omega^1_X(\log\,\mathcal{D})) = \sum_{k=0}^{i} \sum_{|J|=k} c_{i-k}(\Omega^1_X) c_1(\mathcal{D})^J.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is an analytic hypersurface with normal crossing singularities, the Poincaré residue map

$$Res : \Omega^1_X(\log\,\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_i}$$

induces the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X \longrightarrow \Omega^1_X(\log\,\mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{Res} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By using this exact sequence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_i(\Omega^1_X(\log\,\mathcal{D})) &= \sum_{k=0}^{i} c_{i-k}(\Omega^1_X) c_k(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_i}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{i} c_{i-k}(\Omega^1_X) \left(\sum_{j_1+\ldots+j_N=k} c_{j_1}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_1}) \ldots c_{j_N}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_N}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{i} c_{i-k}(\Omega^1_X) \left(\sum_{j_1+\ldots+j_N=k} c_1([\mathcal{D}_1])^{j_1} \ldots c_1([\mathcal{D}_N])^{j_N} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in last equality we use the following relations

$$c_i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{D}_j}) = c_1([\mathcal{D}_j])^i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

which can be obtained of (9). □

Lemma 5.2. *In the above conditions, for each $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$,*

$$c_i(\Omega^1_X)|_{\mathcal{D}_N} = c_i(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{D}_N}) - c_{i-1}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{D}_N})c_i([\mathcal{D}_N])|_{\mathcal{D}_N}.$$

Proof. It follows from by taking the total Chern class in the exact sequence

$$0 \to T_{\mathcal{D}_N} \to T_X|_{\mathcal{D}_N} \to [\mathcal{D}_N]|_{\mathcal{D}_N} \to 0.$$

Lemma 5.3. *In the above conditions, if L is a holomorphic line bundle on X, then the following relations hold:*

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log \mathcal{D}) - L) = \tag{10}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-j}\sum_{|J|=k}\int_X (-1)^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(\mathcal{D})^J c_1(L^*)^j.$$

In particular,

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log \hat{\mathcal{D}}_N) - L) = \tag{11}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_X (-1)^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'} c_1(L^*)^j.$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_N} c_{n-1}(T_{\mathcal{D}_N}(-\log(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N|\mathcal{D}_N)) - L|_{\mathcal{D}_N}) = \tag{12}$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_{\mathcal{D}_N} (-1)^{n-1-j} c_{n-1-j-k}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{D}_N})c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'} c_1(L^*)^j.$$

Proof. By basics proprieties of Chern classes, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log \mathcal{D}) - L) &= \int_X \sum_{j=0}^{n} c_{n-j}(T_X(-\log \mathcal{D}))c_1(L^*)^j \\ &= \int_X \sum_{j=0}^{n}(-1)^{n-j} c_{n-j}(\Omega^1_X(\log \mathcal{D}))c_1(L^*)^j.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.1, we get

$$c_{n-j}(\Omega^1_X(\log \mathcal{D})) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-j}\sum_{|J|=k} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(\mathcal{D})^J.$$

Substituting this, we obtain (10). The relation (11) is obtained by taking $\mathcal{D} = \hat{\mathcal{D}}_N$ in relation (10). Analogously, applying the relation (11), we can obtain (12) by

taking $X = \mathcal{D}_N$ as a complex manifold of dimension $n-1$ and $\mathcal{D} = \hat{D}_N|D_N$ as an analytic subvariety of $\mathcal{D}_N$ with normal crossings. □

Proposition 5.4. *In the above conditions, if L is a holomorphic line bundle on X, then*

$$\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\,\mathcal{D}) - L) \quad = \quad \int_X c_n(T_X(-\log(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N) - L) - \int_{\mathcal{D}_N} c_{n-1}(T_{\mathcal{D}_N}(-\log(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N|\mathcal{D}_N) - L|_{\mathcal{D}_N}).$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.3, it is sufficient to show that the following equality occurs

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-j}\sum_{|J|=k}\int_X (-1)^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X) c_1(\mathcal{D})^J c_1(L^*)^j \quad =$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_X (-1)^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X) c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'} c_1(L^*)^j \quad -$$

$$-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_{\mathcal{D}_N} (-1)^{n-1-j} c_{n-1-j-k}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{D}_N}) c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'} c_1(L^*)^j.$$

Indeed, we can decompose the sum on the left hand side into the terms with $k = 0$ and those with $k \geq 1$ as follows:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-j}\sum_{|J|=k}\int_X (-1)^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X) c_1(\mathcal{D})^J c_1(L^*)^j \quad = \tag{13}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n}\int_X (-1)^{n-j} c_{n-j}(\Omega^1_X) c_1(L^*)^j + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}\sum_{|J|=k}\int_X (-1)^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X) c_1(\mathcal{D})^J c_1(L^*)^j.$$

The second sum on the right hand side can readily be computed. In fact,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}\sum_{|J|=k}\int_X (-1)^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X) c_1(\mathcal{D})^J c_1(L^*)^j =$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_X (-1)^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X) c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'} c_1(L^*)^j +$$

$$+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}\sum_{\substack{|J|=k\\ j_N\geq 1}}\int_X (-1)^{n-j} c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X) c_1([\mathcal{D}_1])^{j_1}\ldots c_1([\mathcal{D}_N])^{j_N} c_1(L^*)^j.$$

By using the fact that $c_1([\mathcal{D}_N])$ is Poincaré dual to the fundamental class of $\mathcal{D}_N$, we obtain:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}\sum_{|J|=k}\int_X (-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(\mathcal{D})^J c_1(L^*)^j =$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_X (-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'} c_1(L^*)^j +$$

$$+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}\sum_{\substack{|J|=k\\ j_N\geq 1}}\int_{\mathcal{D}_N} (-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1([\mathcal{D}_1])^{j_1}\dots c_1([\mathcal{D}_N])^{j_N-1}c_1(L^*)^j.$$

Now, using the relation of Lemma 5.2, we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}\sum_{\substack{|J|=k\\ j_N\geq 1}}\int_{\mathcal{D}_N} (-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1([\mathcal{D}_1])^{j_1}\dots c_1([\mathcal{D}_N])^{j_N-1}c_1(L^*)^j =$$

$$=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}\sum_{\substack{|J|=k\\ j_N\geq 1}}\int_{\mathcal{D}_N} (-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{D}_N})c_1([\mathcal{D}_1])^{j_1}\dots c_1([\mathcal{D}_N])^{j_N-1}c_1(L^*)^j -$$

$$-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j-1}\sum_{\substack{|J|=k\\ j_N\geq 1}}\int_{\mathcal{D}_N} (-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-1-k}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{D}_N})c_1(\mathcal{D})^J c_1(L^*)^j =$$

$$=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\int_{\mathcal{D}_N} (-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-1}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{D}_N})c_1(L^*)^j +\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j-1}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_{\mathcal{D}_N} (-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-1-k}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{D}_N})c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'} c_1(L^*)^j =$$

$$=-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_{\mathcal{D}_N} (-1)^{n-1-j}c_{n-1-j-k}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{D}_N})c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'} c_1(L^*)^j.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}\sum_{|J|=k}\int_X (-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(\mathcal{D})^J c_1(L^*)^j =$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_X (-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'} c_1(L^*)^j -$$

$$-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_{\mathcal{D}_N} (-1)^{n-1-j}c_{n-1-j-k}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{D}_N})c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'} c_1(L^*)^j,$$

and we completed the calculation of the second sum.

Replacing it in the initial equality (13), we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-j}\sum_{|J|=k}\int_X(-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(\mathcal{D})^Jc_1(L^*)^j \quad =$$

$$=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\int_X(-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(L^*)^j+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_X(-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'}c_1(L^*)^j -$$

$$-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_{\mathcal{D}_N}(-1)^{n-1-j}c_{n-1-j-k}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{D}_N})c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'}c_1(L^*)^j=$$

$$=\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_X(-1)^{n-j}c_{n-j-k}(\Omega^1_X)c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'}c_1(L^*)^j -$$

$$-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1-j}\sum_{|J'|=k}\int_{\mathcal{D}_N}(-1)^{n-1-j}c_{n-1-j-k}(\Omega^1_{\mathcal{D}_N})c_1(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)^{J'}c_1(L^*)^j.$$

□

Now, we will prove the Theorem 2:

Proof. We will prove by induction on the number of irreducible components of $\mathcal{D}$. Indeed, if the number of irreducible component of $\mathcal{D}$ is 1, then $\mathcal{D}$ is smooth. By hypothesis, the singularities of $\mathscr{F}$ are non-degenerate, and thus the theorem follows from Theorem 1.

Let us suppose that for every analytic hypersurface on X, satisfying the hypothesis of theorem and having $N-1$ irreducible components, the formula (3) holds. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be an analytic hypersurface on X with N irreducible components, satisfying the hypotheses of the theorem. We will prove that the formula (3) is true for $\mathcal{D}$.

We know that $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N$ is an analytic hypersurface on X and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N|\mathcal{D}_N$ is an analytic hypersurface on $\mathcal{D}_N$, both with normal crossing singularities and having exactly $N-1$ irreducible components. Moreover, $\mathscr{F}$ and its restriction $\mathscr{F}|_{\mathcal{D}_N}$ on $\mathcal{D}_N$ are logarithmic along $\mathcal{D}_N$ and $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N|\mathcal{D}_N$, respectively. Thus, we can use the induction hypothesis and we obtain

$$\sum_{p\in Sing(\mathscr{F})\cap\left(X\setminus\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N\right)}\mu_p(\mathscr{F})=\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\,\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)-T_{\mathscr{F}}) \tag{14}$$

and

$$\sum_{p\in Sing(\mathscr{F})\cap\left[\mathcal{D}_N\setminus\left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N|\mathcal{D}_N\right)\right]}\mu_p(\mathscr{F})=\int_{\mathcal{D}_N}c_{n-1}(T_{\mathcal{D}_N}(-\log\,(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N|\mathcal{D}_N))-T_{\mathscr{F}}|_{\mathcal{D}_N}). \tag{15}$$

By using the following identity $X-\mathcal{D}=(X-\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)-[\mathcal{D}_N-(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N\cap\mathcal{D}_N)]$, we get

$$\sum_{p\in Sing(\mathscr{F})\cap(X\setminus\mathcal{D})}\mu_p(\mathscr{F})=\sum_{p\in Sing(\mathscr{F})\cap\left(X\setminus\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N\right)}\mu_p(\mathscr{F})-\sum_{p\in Sing(\mathscr{F})\cap\left[\mathcal{D}_N\setminus\left(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N|\mathcal{D}_N\right)\right]}\mu_p(\mathscr{F}).$$

Therefore, by (14) and (15), we get

$$\sum_{p\in Sing(\mathscr{F})\cap(X\setminus\mathcal{D})}\mu_p(\mathscr{F})=\int_X c_n(T_X(-\log\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N)-T_{\mathscr{F}})-\int_{\mathcal{D}_N}c_{n-1}(T_{\mathcal{D}_N}(-\log(\hat{\mathcal{D}}_N|\mathcal{D}_N))-T_{\mathscr{F}}|_{\mathcal{D}_N}),$$

and we obtain the desired equality by applying the Proposition 5.4. Thus, we prove that the formula (3) is true for $\mathcal{D}$ and the proof of the theorem follows by induction. □

6. Application to one-dimensional projective foliations

In this section we give an optimal description for a smooth hypersurface $\mathcal{D}$ invariant by an one-dimensional foliation $\mathscr{F}$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$ satisfying $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})\subsetneq\mathcal{D}$. More precisely, we will prove the Theorem 3.

Firstly, we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $f(x,y)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-i}\binom{n+1}{n-i-j}x^jy^i$, *with* $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

(i) *If* $x\neq y$, *then* $f(x,y)=\dfrac{(1+x)^{n+1}-(1+y)^{n+1}}{x-y}$.

(ii) *If* $x=y$, *then* $f(x,y)=(n+1)(1+x)^n$

Proof. Developing the summation in the following triangular format

$$\begin{aligned}f(x,y)=&\binom{n+1}{n}+\binom{n+1}{n-1}x+\binom{n+1}{n-2}x^2+\ \dots\ +\binom{n+1}{0}x^n\quad+\\&+\binom{n+1}{n-1}y+\binom{n+1}{n-2}yx+\ \dots\ +\binom{n+1}{0}yx^{n-1}\quad+\\&+\binom{n+1}{n-2}y^2+\ \dots\ +\binom{n+1}{0}y^2x^{n-2}+\\&\qquad\vdots\\&+\binom{n+1}{0}y^n.\end{aligned}$$

We can put in evidence the common factor in each columns and we get the following forma:

$$f(x,y)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\binom{n+1}{n-k}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k}x^{k-j}y^j\right)\right]. \tag{16}$$

(i) Suppose $x \neq y$. Since

$$x^k + x^{k-1}y + \ldots + xy^{k-1} + y^k = \frac{x^{k+1} - y^{k+1}}{x - y},\ 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,y) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[\binom{n+1}{n-k} \left(\frac{x^{k+1} - y^{k+1}}{x - y} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{x-y} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{n-k} x^{k+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{n-k} y^{k+1} \right] \\ &= \frac{(1+x)^{n+1} - (1+y)^{n+1}}{x-y}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used the binomial theorem.

(ii) Consider the case where $x = y$. By equality (16) we have

$$f(x,y) = \binom{n+1}{n} + \binom{n+1}{n-1} 2x + \binom{n+1}{n-1} 3x^2 + \ldots + \binom{n+1}{0} (n+1)x^n.$$

Hence, by using the binomial theorem we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,y) &= \frac{d}{dx} \left[(1+x)^{n+1} \right] \\ &= (n+1)(1+x)^n. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.2. *Let k, d and n natural numbers, with $k \geq 1$, $d \geq 0$ and $n \geq 2$. Consider the natural number $\delta(k,d,n)$ defined by the relation*

$$\delta(k,d,n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \binom{n+1}{n-i-j} (-k)^j (d-1)^i.$$

Then $\delta(k,d,n)$ satisfies the following conditions:

(i) *If n is odd, then:*

(a) $\delta(k,d,n) > 0 \iff k < d+1$;

(b) $\delta(k,d,n) = 0 \iff k = d+1$;

(c) $\delta(k,d,n) < 0 \iff k > d+1$.

(ii) *If n is even, then $\delta(k,d,n) \geq 0$ and moreover:*

(a) $\delta(k,d,n) > 0 \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases} k \neq d+1 \\ or \\ k = d+1, \text{ with } d \neq 0 \end{cases}$;

(b) $\delta(k,d,n) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow k = 1$ *and* $d = 0$.

(iii) $\delta(k,d,n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i (k-1)^i d^{n-i}$.

Proof. In order to prove the present lemma, we can consider $x = -k$ and $y = d-1$ in $f(x,y)$ of the lemma 6.1. Hence, we obtain

$$\delta(k,d,n) = \frac{(1-k)^{n+1} - d^{n+1}}{-k-d+1}, \text{ if } k \neq 1 \text{ or } d \neq 0$$

and

$$\delta(k,d,n) = 0, \text{ if } k = 1 \text{ and } d = 0. \tag{17}$$

The proof of itens (i) and (ii) can readily be obtained by the study of sign of the expression

$$\frac{(1-k)^{n+1} - d^{n+1}}{-k-d+1}$$

and also using the relation (17).

Now, let us consider the summation $\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i (k-1)^i d^{n-i}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i (k-1)^i d^{n-i} &= d^n \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i (k-1)^i d^{-i} \right] \\ &= d^n \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n} \left(\frac{(-1)(k-1)}{d} \right)^i \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the property

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad 1 + a + a^2 + \ldots + a^n = \frac{1 - a^{n+1}}{1-a}$$

we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i (k-1)^i d^{n-i} = \frac{(k-1)^{n+1} - d^{n+1}}{-k-d+1}.$$

Hence, this proves the equality of item (iii).

□

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ a smooth and irreducible hypersurface of degree k. Then, for $l = 1, \ldots, n$, we obtain*

$$c_l(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-\log \mathcal{D})) = \left[\sum_{j=0}^{l} \binom{n+1}{l-j}(-1)^j k^j\right] c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))^l. \tag{18}$$

Proof. The formula (18) can be obtained by considering the recursion

$$c_{j+1}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-\log \mathcal{D})) = \binom{n+1}{j+1} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))^{j+1} - c_j(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-\log \mathcal{D}))(k\, c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))),$$

$j = 0, \ldots, n-1$, which can be obtained considering the exact sequence (6):

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-\log \mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k) \longrightarrow 0.$$

□

Now, we will prove the Theorem 3:

Proof. Let $deg(\mathcal{D}) = k$ and $deg(\mathscr{F}) = d$. On the one hand, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^n} c_n(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-\log \mathcal{D}) - T_{\mathscr{F}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} c_{n-i}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-\log \mathcal{D}))c_1(T^*_{\mathscr{F}})^i.$$

Now, by using the formula (18) in each $c_{n-i}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-\log \mathcal{D}))$, in the summation above, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^n} c_n(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-\log \mathcal{D}) - T_{\mathscr{F}}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \binom{n+1}{n-i-j}(-1)^j k^j\right] \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))^{n-i} c_1(T^*_{\mathscr{F}})^i.$$

On the other hand, the tangent bundle $T_{\mathscr{F}}$ of foliation on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is such that $T_{\mathscr{F}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1-d)$. Therefore, we obtain $c_1(T^*_{\mathscr{F}}) = (d-1)c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{P}^n} c_n(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-\log \mathcal{D}) - T_{\mathscr{F}}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \binom{n+1}{n-i-j}(-1)^j k^j\right] (d-1)^i \int_{\mathbb{P}^n} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))^n \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \binom{n+1}{n-i-j}(-k)^j (d-1)^i,\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used the fact that $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{P}^n} c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))^n = 1$.

By hypothesis, the singularities of $\mathscr{F}$ are non-degenerates. Then, the number $\#\left[\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathbb{P}^n \backslash \mathcal{D}\right]$ corresponds to the sum of the numbers of Milnor of the singular points of $\mathscr{F}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n \backslash \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, for all $p \in \mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathcal{D}_{reg}$ we have $Ind_{log\, \mathcal{D}, p}(\mathscr{F}) = 0$, since the singularities are non-degenerates. Thus, it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\#\left[\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) \cap \mathbb{P}^n \backslash \mathcal{D}\right] = \sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \binom{n+1}{n-i-j}(-k)^j (d-1)^i.$$

Now, the conclusion of proof can readily be obtained by the signal study of $\delta(k,d,n)$ that was done in Lemma 6.2. □

Particularly, the items (1b) and (2b) of Theorem 3 characterize the situations in which all the singularities of $\mathscr{F}$ occur in the invariant hypersurface $\mathcal{D}$. We will present optimal examples.

Example 6.4. Let $\mathscr{F}$ be the foliation on $\mathbb{P}^3$ induced by the polynomial vector field

$$\begin{aligned} v &= (-z_1^{k-1}-z_2^{k-1}-z_3^{k-1})\frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}+(z_0^{k-1}-z_2^{k-1}-z_3^{k-1})\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}+ \\ &\quad +(z_0^{k-1}+z_1^{k-1}-z_3^{k-1})\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}+(z_0^{k-1}+z_1^{k-1}+z_2^{k-1})\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}. \end{aligned}$$

The hypersurface $\mathcal{D}=\{z_0^k+z_1^k+z_2^k+z_3^k=0\}$ is invariant by $\mathscr{F}$. It is not difficult see that $\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})\subset\mathcal{D}$. Note that $\deg(\mathcal{D})=k$ and $\deg(\mathscr{F})=k-1$, according to item (1.b) of the Theorem 3.

Example 6.5. Consider the foliation $\mathscr{F}$ induced by the vector field $v=\partial/\partial z_0$. For each $1\leq i\leq n$, the hypersurface $\mathcal{D}_i=\{z_i=0\}$ is invariant by $\mathscr{F}$. Moreover, that for all $i=1,\ldots,n$, we have

$$\mathrm{Sing}(\mathscr{F})=\{(1:0:\ldots:0)\}\subset\mathcal{D}_i.$$

Note that we have $\deg(\mathscr{F})=0$ and $\deg(\mathcal{D}_i)=1$, for all i. Therefore, if we consider n even, we are in the case of item (2b) of Theorem 3.

References

[1] A. G. Aleksandrov, *The index of vector fields and logarithmic differential forms*, Funct. Anal. Appl. 39 (4) (2005) 245-255.

[2] P. Aluffi, *Chern classes for singular hypersurfaces*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 351 (1999), no. 10, 3989-4026.

[3] E. Angelini, *Logarithmic bundles of hypersurface arrangements in* $\mathbb{P}^n$, Collectanea Mathematica, Volume 65, Issue 3, (2014), 285-302

[4] P. Baum and R. Bott, *Singularities of Holomorphic Foliations*, J. Differential Geom, 7 (1972), 279-342.

[5] J.-P. Brasselet, J. Seade and T. Suwa, *An explicit cycle representing the Fulton-Johnson class*, Singularités Franco-Japonaises, Sémin. Congr., 10, Soc. Math. France, Paris, p. 21-38, 2005.

[6] J.-P. Brasselet, J. Seade and T. Suwa, *Vector Fields on Singular Varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Spring, 2009.

[7] M. Brunella, *Birational Geometry of Foliations*, Publicações Matemáticas, IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 2010.

[8] S.S. Chern, *A Simple Intrinsic Proof of the Gauss-Bonnet Formula for Closed Riemannian Manifolds*, Ann. Math.45 (4), 1944, 747-752.

[9] P. Deligne, *Equations differentielles à points singulier réguliers*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 163, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[10] I. Dolgachev, *Logarithmic sheaves attached to arrangements of hyperplanes*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 47 (2007), n. 1, 35-64.

[11] X. Gómez-Mont, *An algebraic formula for the index of a vector field on a hypersurface with an isolated singularity*, J. Algebraic Geom. 7 (1998), 731-752.

[12] X. Gómez-Mont, J. Seade and A. Verjovsky, *The index of a holomorphic flow with an isolated singularity*, Math. Ann. 291 (1991), 737-751.

[13] P. Griffths and J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978.

[14] D. Huybrechts, *Complex Geometry An Introduction*, Universitext, Springer, 2004.

[15] S. Iitaka, *Logarithmic forms of algebraic varieties*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA 23 (1976), 525-544.

[16] N. M. Katz, *The regularity theorem in algebraic geometry*, Actes Congres Intern. Math., 1970, t.1, 437-443.

[17] D. Lehmann, M. Soares & T. Suwa, *On the index of a holomorphic vector field tangent to a singular variety*, Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat. 26 (1995), pp. 183-199.

[18] Y. Norimatsu, *Kodaira Vanishing Theorem and Chern Classes for ∂-Manifolds*, Proc. Japan Acad., 54, Ser. A. (1978), 107-108.

[19] K. Saito, *Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 27(2), p. 265-291, 1980.

[20] J. Seade and T. Suwa, *A residue formula for the index of a holomorphic flow*, Math. Ann. 304 (1996), 621-634.

[21] R. Silvotti, *On a conjecture of Varchenko*, Invent. Math. 126 (1996), no. 2, 235-248.

[22] M. G. Soares, *The Poincaré problem for hypersurfaces invariant by one-dimensional foliations*, IInvent. math. v. 128, p. 495-500, 1997.

[23] T. Suwa, *Indices of vector fields and residues of singular holomorphic foliations*, Actualités Mathématiques, Hermann Éditeurs des Sciences et des Arts, 1998.

Maurício Corrêa, Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Antônio Carlos 6627, 30123-970 Belo Horizonte -MG, Brazil

E-mail address: mauriciojr@ufmg.br

Diogo da Silva Machado, Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Avenida Peter Henry Rolfs, s/n - Campus Universitário, 36570-900 Viçosa- MG, Brazil

E-mail address: diogo.machado@ufv.br